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Bio Sci Students and Primary Literature

• Over 90% of students in lab courses have been exposed to primary literature

• 75% of students agree that primary literature is important for their future
Bio Sci Students and Primary Literature

• Yet understanding of primary literature is lacking

What is the most important section of a paper?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of students</th>
<th>Abstract</th>
<th>Intro</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary Literature and Bio Sci Labs

Microbiology

Paper #1

Molecular Biology

Paper #2

Biochemistry

Paper #3

In Class Discussion

Paper Assignment #1

Paper Assignment #2

Paper Quiz
Paper Assignments

• Assignment #1 - 4 Q’s
  – Why was the experiment performed?
  – How was the experiment performed?
  – What were the results obtained?
  – What conclusions were made?

• Assignment #2 - Summary paragraphs
Carcinogenic bacterial pathogen *Helicobacter pylori* triggers DNA double-strand breaks and a DNA damage response in its host cells
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I have a very strong understanding of this paper.

A. Strongly disagree
B. Disagree
C. Neutral
D. Agree
E. Strongly agree
What is the main question the authors are asking?

A. Why is *H. pylori* a cause of gastric cancer?
B. How does *H. pylori* affect chromosome stability?
C. How does the DNA repair machinery fix double stranded breaks?
Predict the expected result if HU treatment was performed in Fig 4B.
Paper Quiz - Assesses Student Comprehension of Primary Literature

- How well do students understand primary literature?

Bloom’s Taxonomy

- Remembering
- Understanding
- Applying
- Analyzing
- Evaluating
- Creating
6. Answer the following questions (a-c) regarding Figure 6B.

![Graph showing bacterial load over time with treatments]

**Fig 6. ADEP4 in combination with rifampicin eradicates a deep-seated mouse biofilm infection.**

b. Single day (rifampicin 30mg per kg once, vancomycin 110mg per kg twice) treatments with rifampicin and vancomycin. A second day of vancomycin treatment (vancomycin 48h) reveals an antibiotic tolerant subpopulation.

a. **What is a biofilm?** (1 pt)

b. Imagine ADEP4 was added to the vancomycin treatments (both 24 and 48hrs). **Draw the expected results from this experiment** in the Vancomycin and Vancomycin 48 h lanes of the graph above. (2 pt)

c. This **figure (DOES/DOES NOT)** contribute to the main question of the paper (Q1 of this quiz). **Briefly explain.** (1.5 pt)

7. **Based on the results in this paper, propose a relevant future experiment the authors could conduct** (identifying other activators of ClpP or other bacterial proteases are not acceptable answers as they are mentioned in the discussion of the paper). (2 pt)
Does this module increase paper reading abilities in later courses?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fall Quarter 2012</th>
<th>Winter Quarter 2013</th>
<th>Spring Quarter 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microbiology Lab</td>
<td>Microbiology Lab</td>
<td>Microbiology Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular Biology Lab</td>
<td>Molecular Biology Lab</td>
<td>Molecular Biology Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry Lab</td>
<td>Biochemistry Lab</td>
<td>Biochemistry Lab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**First-timer** – Student taking our labs for the 1st time  
**Returner** – Student who has taken one of our labs previously
Returner students exhibit longitudinal learning gains

Are learning gains dependent on the primary literature module?

---

*\( p < 0.05 \)

***\( p < 0.001 \)
Are learning gains dependent on the primary literature module?

**Experienced** – Previously taken another upper division Bio lab

**Novice** – One of our labs is their 1\(^{st}\) lab experience
Longitudinal learning gains are specific for paper module labs

**p<0.01
***P<0.001
Paper Comprehension and Study Method

**Overall Method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Method 1</th>
<th>Partial Method 1</th>
<th>Method 2</th>
<th>Partial Method 2</th>
<th>Other Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent Correct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Quiz</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P<0.01**

**P<0.01**
Paper Comprehension and Research Experience

**Percent Correct on Paper Quiz**

- Overall
- Bench Research
- Medical Research
- No Research

**P<0.01**
Performance on Paper Quiz and Confidence

- I am very confident that I understand the paper being tested  
  1 = Strongly Disagree  
  5 = Strongly Agree

\[ p < .0001 \]
Conclusions

• Our lab module significantly increased student performance in a paper-based quiz in a longitudinal manner
• Benefits were not dependent on prescribed study methods
• Research experience did not result in increased comprehension of primary literature
• Paper Quiz performance and student confidence positively correlated
• All conclusions were seen in individual courses
Future Directions

• What is confidence?

Confidence = \frac{Prior \ Experience + Present \ Work}{Self-Expectation} + Perception

• Open v. Closed note test taking
Future Directions

• Expansion of module
  – Other Bio Sci lab courses
  – Other departments, institutions?

• Bio Sci M126 – Intro to Primary Literature

• Program redesign?
  – Need to focus on critical thinking as opposed to memorization
  – Ex. Data analysis, Experimental design
Questions?