## Homework 1 Solutions

- 1. Recall that, since m(A) > 0, the set A A contains an interval I centered at the origin. We know that  $2^{-n} \in I$  for large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $I \subset A A$ , we can thereby choose  $x, y \in A$  with  $x y = 2^{-n}$ . In particular,  $|x y| = 2^{-n}$  so the proof is complete.
- 2. (a) We first prove the right-to-left direction. Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is  $\mu$ -null. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose  $\delta_n$  such that if  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $\mu(B) < \delta_n$ , then  $\nu(B) < n^{-1}$ . Since  $\mu(A) < \delta_n$  for each n we must have  $\nu(A) < n^{-1}$  for each n implying  $\nu(A) = 0$ . That is,  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .

Suppose now that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . If the  $\epsilon - \delta$  condition is not satisfied, there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we can find  $E_n \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(E_n) < 2^{-n}$  and  $\nu(E_n) \geq \epsilon$ . Let  $F_k := \bigcup_k^{\infty} E_n$  and  $F = \bigcap_1^{\infty} F_k$ . Then

$$\mu(F_k) < \sum_{k=2^{n-1}}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 2^{1-k}$$

so  $\mu(F) = 0$ . On the other hand,  $\nu(F_k) \ge \epsilon$  for each k and, since  $\nu$  is finite,  $\nu(F) = \lim \nu(F_k) \ge \epsilon$  contradicting that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .

(b) Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, m)$  and define  $\nu(A) := \int_A x^{-2} dm$  for Lebesgue measurable A. Then  $\nu$  is a measure that is absolutely continuous with respect to m and  $\nu([-\epsilon, \epsilon]) = \infty$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$  so the left-to-right implication in part (a) does not hold.

**Remark:** This is closely related to the *Radon-Nikodym Theorem*, which we will study later in the quarter. The intuition is that if  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and finite, then we may write

$$\nu(A) = \int_A f \, d\mu$$
 for some nonnegative  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ .

Notice that this expression for  $\nu$  implies the left-to-right implication immediately.

3. By Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \, dx \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x)| \, dx < \infty$$

since the  $f_n$  are bounded in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence,  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Applying Fatou's Lemma once more, we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \, dx \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x)| \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \, dx \right)$$

On the other hand, the reverse triangle inequality applied to the second term on the right-hand side of the inequality above shows

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x)| \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \, dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x)| \, dx - \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x)| \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \, dx \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \, dx. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x)| \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \, dx \right) \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \, dx$$

Combining this with the inequality for the liminf above concludes the proof.

4. If f is continuous on [0,1], then there is an M > 0 such that  $|f(x)| \le M$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Since  $x^n f(x) \to 0$  almost everywhere on [0,1] and  $f \in L^1([0,1])$  by continuity, the dominated convergence theorem shows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 x^n f(x) \, dx = 0$$

Now, for each  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  we may write

$$n\int_0^1 x^n f(x) \, dx = n\int_0^{1-\frac{1}{k}} x^n f(x) \, dx + n\int_{1-\frac{1}{k}}^1 x^n f(x) \, dx.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so large that  $|1 - x| < \frac{1}{k}$  implies

$$-\epsilon < f(x) - f(1) < \epsilon$$

We estimate the terms in the sum above individually. We have

$$n\int_0^{1-\frac{1}{k}} x^n f(x) \, dx \le n \left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)^n M \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} n\int_{1-\frac{1}{k}}^{1} x^{n}f(x)\,dx &\leq n\int_{1-\frac{1}{k}}^{1} x^{n}(f(x)-f(1)) + n\int_{1-\frac{1}{k}}^{1} x^{n}f(1)\,dx\,dx\\ &\leq (\epsilon+f(1))n\int_{1-\frac{1}{k}}^{1} x^{n}\,dx\\ &= (\epsilon+f(1))\frac{n}{n+1}\Big(1-\Big(1-\frac{1}{k}\Big)^{n+1}\Big). \end{split}$$

Taking the lim sup as  $n \to \infty$  and combining with the previous inequalities gives

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( n \int_{1 - \frac{1}{k}}^{1} x^n f(x) \, dx \right) \le \epsilon + f(1).$$

Similarly,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \left( n \int_{1-\frac{1}{k}}^{1} x^n f(x) \, dx \right) \ge f(1) - \epsilon.$$

Since this holds for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , combining with the previous inequalities shows

$$f(1) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left( n \int_0^1 x^n f(x) \, dx \right) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left( n \int_0^1 x^n f(x) \, dx \right) \le f(1).$$

Hence, the limit exists and is equal to f(1).

5. Fix  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . The idea is to control the growth of the integral in question on annuli emanating from the origin. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| |g(tx)| \, dx = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{n-1 \le |x| \le n} |f(x)| |g(xt)| \, dx \le \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-|t|(n-1)} \int_{n-1 \le |x| \le n} |f(x)| \, dx.$$

In addition, the growth assumption on the integral of f gives

$$e^{-|t|(n-1)} \int_{n-1 \le |x| \le n} |f(x)| \, dx \le e^{-|t|(n-1)} \int_{|x| \le n} |f(x)| \, dx \le e^{-|t|(n-1)} n^a.$$

To conclude, simply note that the series

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-|t|(n-1)} n^a$$

converges by the ratio test. Hence,  $f(x)g(tx) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .