

Homework 2 Solutions

1. We first prove the desired limit when $f(x) = \chi_{(a,b)}(x)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$. We have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} f(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_a^b e^{ix\xi} dx \right| = \frac{|e^{ib\xi} - e^{ia\xi}|}{\xi} \leq \frac{2}{\xi} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\xi| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, the result holds for characteristic functions of open intervals. Suppose now that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\{\phi_n\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of simple functions of the form

$$\phi_n = \sum_1^k \chi_{(a_n^j, b_n^j)}$$

approximating f in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $\|f - \phi_n\|_1 < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Fix $n \geq N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} f(x) dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} (f(x) - \phi_n(x)) dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} \phi_n dx \right| \\ &\leq \|f - \phi_n\|_1 + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} \phi_n dx \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} \phi_n dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The second term in the sum tends to zero as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ by what was proved first. Hence,

$$\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} f(x) dx \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the proof is complete.

Remark: This is called the *Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma*. It is a fundamental tool in Fourier analysis, which is a super cool subject you should totally learn if you are interested in analysis.

2. This is a consequence of the *generalized dominated convergence theorem*, which was proved in the homework last quarter (see Problem 20 on pg. 59 of the text). To apply the theorem, take $g_n := |f_n|$ and $g := |f|$. We can then conclude that $\|f_n - f\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by dominated convergence. Since

$$\int_E |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \leq \|f_n - f\|_{L^1}$$

for every measurable $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, the L^1 convergence of the f_n to f implies the convergence is uniform in the choice of measurable E .

3. We first consider the case when $f = \chi_{(a,b)}$. The general case will be obtained by approximation with simple functions in L^1 . Suppose without loss of generality that $|h| > 2(b-a)$. Direct computation shows

$$|\chi_{(a,b)}(x+h) - \chi_{(a,b)}(x)| = \chi_{(a-h, b-h) \cup (a,b)}(x).$$

Since $(a-h, b-h)$ and (a,b) are disjoint, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi_{(a,b)}(x+h) - \chi_{(a,b)}(x)| dx = 2(b-a) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi_{(a,b)}(x)| dx.$$

It follows that, if ϕ is a simple function of the form

$$\phi = \sum_1^n \chi_{(a_n, b_n)}$$

and h is chosen so that $|h|$ is very large (depending on the a_j, b_j), that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)| dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(x)| dx.$$

Based on the above computation, we expect

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+h) - f(x)| dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and choose an L^1 simple function ϕ such that $\|\phi - f\|_{L^1} < \epsilon$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|f(x+h) - f(x)| - 2|f(x)|) dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|f(x+h) - f(x)| - |\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)|) dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)| - 2|f(x)|) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+h) - \phi(x+h)| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(x) - f(x)| dx + \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)| - 2|f(x)|) dx \right| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\phi(x+h) - \phi(x)| - 2|f(x)|) dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $|h| \rightarrow \infty$ on each side of the inequality above gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|h| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|f(x+h) - f(x)| - 2|f(x)|) dx \right| &\leq 2\epsilon + 2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\phi(x)| - |f(x)|) dx \right| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(x) - f(x)| dx \\ &\leq 4\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that the limit on the left-hand side of the inequality above is zero.

Remark: This problem demonstrates a good qual trick. If you need to first determine what the limit should be, try working out what the limit is when f is replaced by the characteristic function of a bounded open interval. Often times, this computation will be easy. To generalize, use approximation in L^1 by simple functions.

4. Suppose that $\{f_n\}_1^\infty$ is a sequence of functions in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise a.e. on \mathbb{R} with $|f_n| \leq g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, given $\epsilon > 0$ we may choose $r > 0$ so large that

$$\int_{|x|>r} g(x) dx < \delta.$$

By Egoroff's Theorem, there is a Lebesgue measurable subset of $\{|x| \leq r\}$ with $m(E) < \delta$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $E^c \cap \{|x| > r\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx &= \int_{|x|>r} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx + \int_{|x|\leq r} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{|x|>r} g(x) dx + 2 \int_E g(x) dx + \int_{E^c \cap \{|x|\leq r\}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we can choose δ so small that the second term in the sum is less than ϵ . Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \leq 3\epsilon + \int_{E^c \cap \{|x| \leq r\}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx.$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on each side of the inequality above and using that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $E^c \cap \{|x| \leq r\}$ shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \leq 3\epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and, consequently, that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx.$$

5. Observe that

$$\mu(E_j) = \mu(\{x : |\chi_{E_j}(x)| = 1\}) = \mu(\{x : |\chi_{E_j}(x)| \geq \epsilon\}) \text{ for each } \epsilon \in (0, 1].$$

If $\epsilon > 1$, the last term in the string of equalities above is zero; hence, letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ shows that $\chi_{E_j} \rightarrow 0$ in measure. Now, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ set $f_j := f \cdot \chi_{E_j}$. Then $f_j \rightarrow 0$ in measure with $|f_j| \leq |f| \in L^1(\mu)$ for each j . By the Dominated Convergence Theorem (see Problem 5 in the week 2 discussion),

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_j} f(x) dx = 0,$$

as desired.

6. We first prove the result for $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\frac{a_i}{b_i} \neq \frac{a_j}{b_j}$ unless $i = j$, $\text{supp } f(b_i x + ta_i)$ is disjoint for $t \gg a$. To see this, let $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ be compact such that $\text{supp } f \subset K$. Assume there are indices $i \neq j$ such that $b_i x + ta_i, b_j x + ta_j \in K$. Then $x + \frac{ta_i}{b_i} \in K'$ and $x + \frac{ta_j}{b_j} \in K'$ for some translation of K , K' . Then

$$t \left(\frac{a_i}{b_i} - \frac{a_j}{b_j} \right) = x + \frac{ta_i}{b_i} - \left(x + \frac{ta_j}{b_j} \right) \in K' - K' \text{ for all } t$$

where $K' - K'$ is compact. Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ contradicts that $K' - K'$ is compact. It follows that for t sufficiently large the supports are disjoint so

$$\int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k f(b_j x + ta_j) \right| dx = \sum_{j=1}^k \int |f(b_j x + ta_j)| dx.$$

Applying the change of variable $y = b_j x + ta_j$ in each integral we find

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{|b_j|} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k f(b_j x + ta_j) \right| dx.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose now that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|f - \phi\|_{L^1} < \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k f(b_j x + ta_j) \right| dx - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{|b_j|} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \right| &\leq \left| \int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k f(b_j x + ta_j) \right| dx - \int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(b_j x + ta_j) \right| dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(b_j x + ta_j) \right| dx - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{|b_j|} \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \right| + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{|b_j|} \|\phi\|_{L^1} - \|f\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C\epsilon + \left| \int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(b_j x + ta_j) \right| dx - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{|b_j|} \|\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending on k and the constants a_j, b_j . Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ shows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k f(b_j x + t a_j) \right| dx - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{|b_j|} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \right| \leq C\epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the limit on the left-hand side above is zero, as desired.

7. Since $g \in L^1$, given $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we may choose $r > 0$ so large that

$$\int_{|x|>r} |g(x)| dx < \epsilon.$$

A u -substitution then implies

$$\int_{|x-y|>r} |g(x-y)| dy < \epsilon \text{ for each } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact set and fix $x \in K$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} |g * f_n(x) - g * f(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x-y)| |f_n(y) - f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \int_{|x-y| \leq r} |g(x-y)| |f_n(y) - f(y)| dy + \int_{|x-y| > r} |g(x-y)| |f_n(y) - f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \int_{|x-y| \leq r} |g(x-y)| |f_n(y) - f(y)| dy + 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $|f_n(x)| \leq 1$ and $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for all x and n . Notice that $f_n(y) \rightarrow f(y)$ pointwise a.e. and

$$|g(x-y)| |f_n(y) - f(y)| \leq 2|g(x-y)| \in L^1,$$

so we may apply the dominated convergence theorem to conclude that for each $x \in K$ there is an $N_x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{|x-y| \leq r} |g(x-y)| |f_n(y) - f(y)| dy < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq N_x.$$

To conclude, notice that the collection of intervals $I_x := \{y : |x-y| \leq r\}$ form an open cover of K ; thus, we may extract a finite sub-cover I_{x_1}, \dots, I_{x_k} . Taking $N := \max\{N_{x_1}, \dots, N_{x_k}\}$, we conclude that

$$|g * f_n(x) - g * f(x)| \leq 3\epsilon \text{ for all } x \in K \text{ and all } n \geq N.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $g * f_n \rightarrow g * f$ uniformly on K . Since K is an arbitrary compact set, this concludes the proof.

Remark: Notice that I did not use Egoroff's Theorem.