

## Homework 4 Solutions

1. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $E \subset (0, 1)$  is Lebesgue measurable with  $m(E) < \delta$  we have

$$\int_E |f_n(x)| dx < \epsilon \text{ for each } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using that  $f \in L^1((0, 1))$ , we may adjust  $\delta$  if necessary to ensure that

$$\int_E |f(x)| dx < \epsilon$$

also. Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. on  $(0, 1)$  we may choose a Lebesgue measurable  $E \subset (0, 1)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $E^c \cap (0, 1)$  and  $m(E) < \delta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(0,1)} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx &= \int_E |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx + \int_{E^c \cap (0,1)} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \\ &\leq 2\epsilon + \int_{E^c \cap (0,1)} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit on each side of the inequality above shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0,1)} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, the limit on the left-hand side is zero. Hence,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1((0, 1))$ .

2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-xy} |\sin x \sin y| dx dy &= \int_0^\infty |\sin y| \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{2k\pi}^{(2k+1)\pi} e^{-xy} \sin x dx - \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{(2k+1)\pi}^{(2k+2)\pi} e^{-xy} \sin x dx \right) dy \\ \text{(Integration by parts)} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin y|}{1+y^2} (e^{-2\pi y} + 2e^{-\pi y} + 1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty e^{-2k\pi y} dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin y|}{1+y^2} \frac{(1+e^{-\pi y})^2}{1-e^{-2\pi y}} dy \\ \text{(Difference of squares)} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin y|}{1+y^2} \frac{1+e^{-\pi y}}{1-e^{-\pi y}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now, near zero

$$\frac{|\sin y|}{1+y^2} \frac{1+e^{-\pi y}}{1-e^{-\pi y}} \leq |y| \cdot \frac{1+e^{-\pi y}}{1-e^{-\pi y}}.$$

The limit of the function on the right-hand side of the inequality as  $y \rightarrow 0^+$  is  $\pi^{-1}$ . Hence, there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{|\sin y|}{1+y^2} \frac{1+e^{-\pi y}}{1-e^{-\pi y}} \leq \frac{C}{1+y^2} \text{ on } (0, \epsilon).$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{|\sin y|}{1+y^2} \frac{1+e^{-\pi y}}{1-e^{-\pi y}} \leq \frac{1+e^{-\pi y}}{1-e^{-\pi y}}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 + e^{-\pi y}}{1 - e^{-\pi y}} = 1,$$

there is another constant  $\tilde{C}$  such that

$$\frac{|\sin y|}{1 + y^2} \frac{1 + e^{-\pi y}}{1 - e^{-\pi y}} \leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{1 + y^2} \text{ on } (\epsilon, \infty).$$

Taking  $C_0 := \max\{C, \tilde{C}\}$  shows

$$\frac{|\sin y|}{1 + y^2} \frac{1 + e^{-\pi y}}{1 - e^{-\pi y}} \leq \frac{C_0}{1 + y^2} \text{ on } (0, \infty).$$

Since the function on the right-hand side is in  $L^1((0, \infty))$ , we conclude

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin y|}{1 + y^2} \frac{1 + e^{-\pi y}}{1 - e^{-\pi y}} dy < \infty,$$

as desired.

3. We first prove the result when  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Fix  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ . If  $|h| < \delta$ , then

$$\left| \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x_0-h}^{x_0+h} f(x) dx - f(x_0) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x_0-h}^{x_0+h} (f(x) - f(x_0)) dx \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x_0-h}^{x_0+h} f(x) dx = f(x_0) \text{ for each } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

whenever  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Note also that  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is uniformly continuous<sup>1</sup> as well so the  $\delta$  above can be chosen to be independent of  $x_0$ . It follows that  $f_h \rightarrow f$  uniformly as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $|h| < \delta$  so small that  $|f_h(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Choose  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$  so that  $\text{supp } f \pm h \subset [a, b]$  for  $|h| < 1$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{2h} \left( \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) dt - f(x) \right) \right| dx \leq \int_{a-1}^{b+1} \left| \frac{1}{2h} \left( \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) dt - f(x) \right) \right| dx < \epsilon \int_{a-1}^{b+1} dx = \epsilon(b - a + 2).$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $f_h \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ .

Suppose now that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\{f_n\}_1^\infty \subset C_0(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{2h} \left( \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) dt - f(x) \right) \right| dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{2h} \left( \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) - f_n(t) dt \right) \right| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{2h} \left( \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f_n(t) dt - f_n(x) \right) \right| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \\ &= I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

The integrals  $II$  and  $III$  above can be small by choosing  $|h|$  small and  $n$  large, respectively. On the other hand, the  $L^1$  convergence of  $f_n$  to  $f$  implies that  $(f_n)_h(x) \rightarrow f_h(x)$  pointwise in  $x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $I$ , notice that by a change of variable and the Tonelli Theorem

$$I \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} |f(t) - f_n(t)| dt dx = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+t) - f_n(x+t)| dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x) - f_n(x)| dx$$

Thus,  $I$  tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Combining the estimates for  $I$ ,  $II$ , and  $III$  shows that  $f_h \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Functions in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  are always uniformly continuous.

4. The trick is to show that  $g(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_n^{n+\frac{1}{n}} |f(x+y)| dy$  is locally  $L^1$  since this implies  $g(x) < \infty$  a.e. which implies a.e. absolute convergence of the original series. Observe that by Tonelli's theorem applied twice

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_n^{n+\frac{1}{n}} |f(x+y)| dy dx &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_n^{n+\frac{1}{n}} \int_a^b |f(x+y)| dx dy \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_n^{n+\frac{1}{n}} \int_{a+y}^{b+y} |f(t)| dt dy \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_n^{n+\frac{1}{n}} \int_{a+n}^{b+n+\frac{1}{n}} |f(t)| dt dy \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{a+n}^{b+n+1} |f(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $b = a + 1$  gives

$$\int_a^b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_n^{n+\frac{1}{n}} |f(x+y)| dy dx \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{a+n}^{a+n+2} |f(t)| dt.$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{a+n}^{a+n+2} |f(t)| dt = \int_{a+1}^{a+2} |f(t)| dt + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{a+n}^{a+n+1} |f(t)| dt = \int_{a+1}^{a+2} |f(t)| dt + 2 \int_{a+2}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt < \infty$$

since  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . It follows that

$$\int_a^{a+1} g(x) dx < \infty \text{ for every } a \in \mathbb{R},$$

so for each  $a$  we see that  $g(x) < \infty$  a.e. on  $(a, a + 1)$ . Since each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  lies in an interval of the form  $(a, a + 1)$  for some  $a$ , the proof is complete.

5. Suppose  $f, g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $a > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a F(x)g(x) dx &= \int_0^a \int_0^x f(t)g(x) dt dx \\ &= \int_0^a \int_0^a f(t)g(x) dx - \int_0^a \int_x^a f(t)g(x) dt dx \\ (\text{Fubini}) &= F(a)G(a) - \int_0^a \int_0^t g(x)f(t) dx dt \\ &= F(a)G(a) - \int_0^a G(x)f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

6. Define

$$\chi_{\{|f(x)|>t\}}(x, t) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (x, t) \in \{|f(x)| > t\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_0^{\infty} m(t) dt = \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{\{|f(x)|>t\}}(x, t) dx dt.$$

By the Tonelli Theorem, we can switch the order of integration<sup>2</sup>:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{\{|f(x)|>t\}}(x, t) dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{|f(x)|} \chi_{\{|f(x)|>t\}}(x, t) dt dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{|f(x)|} dt dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx.$$

<sup>2</sup>To be precise, you should justify why we can use Tonelli.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\int_0^\infty m(t) dt = \epsilon \int_0^\infty m(\epsilon t) dt = \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_k^{k+1} m(\epsilon t) dt \geq \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty m(\epsilon k),$$

where we have made the change of variable  $t \mapsto \epsilon t$  in the first equality. It remains to show

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty m(\epsilon k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx.$$

We begin with the case  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . For each  $k, \epsilon$  set

$$E_{k,\epsilon} := \{x : \epsilon k < |f(x)|\} \text{ and } F_{k,\epsilon} := \{x : k\epsilon < |f(x)| \leq (k+1)\epsilon\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(x)|}{\epsilon} dx &= \int_{\{0 < |f(x)| \leq \epsilon\}} \frac{|f(x)|}{\epsilon} dx + \int_{E_{1,\epsilon}} \frac{|f(x)|}{\epsilon} dx \\ &= \int_{\{0 < |f(x)| \leq \epsilon\}} \frac{|f(x)|}{\epsilon} dx + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{F_k} \frac{|f(x)|}{\epsilon} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{0 < |f(x)| \leq \epsilon\}} \frac{|f(x)|}{\epsilon} dx + \sum_{k=1}^\infty km(F_{k,\epsilon}) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty m(F_{k,\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by  $\epsilon$  on each side of the inequality gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx = \int_{\{0 < |f(x)| \leq \epsilon\}} |f(x)| dx + \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty km(F_{k,\epsilon}) + \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty m(F_{k,\epsilon}) = I_\epsilon + II_\epsilon + III_\epsilon.$$

We handle each term in the sum individually. Notice that  $|f(x)|\chi_{\{0 < |f(x)| \leq \epsilon\}}(x) \leq |f(x)|$  for each  $x$ . Furthermore,  $|f(x)|\chi_{\{0 < |f(x)| \leq \epsilon\}}(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  so we may apply the dominated convergence theorem to conclude that  $I_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ . For the second term, we have

$$II_\epsilon = \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty km(F_{k,\epsilon}) = \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty m(E_{k,\epsilon}) = \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty m(\epsilon k).$$

For the last term, note that the compact support of  $f$  shows  $m(\{x : |f(x)| > 0\}) \leq m(\text{supp } f) < \infty$  and

$$III_\epsilon = \epsilon m(E_{1,\epsilon}) \leq \epsilon m(\{x : |f(x)| > 0\}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Using the estimates above, we conclude

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty m(\epsilon k) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx$$

so the result holds for  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose now that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\delta > 0$  is given. Choose  $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\|f - \phi\|_{L^1} < \delta$  and set  $\tilde{m}(k\epsilon) := \{x : |\phi(x)| > \epsilon k\}$ . We may also choose  $\phi$  so that  $|\phi(x)| \leq |f(x)|$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . In this case,  $\tilde{m}(k\epsilon) \leq m(k\epsilon)$  for each  $k, \epsilon$ . We have:

$$\left| \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty m(k\epsilon) - \|f\|_{L^1} \right| \leq \left| \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty (m(k\epsilon) - \tilde{m}(k\epsilon)) \right| + \left| \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty \tilde{m}(k\epsilon) - \|\phi\|_{L^1} \right| + \|\phi - f\|_{L^1}.$$

The last term is  $< \delta$  and choosing  $\epsilon > 0$  small ensures the middle term is  $< \delta$ . For the first term, notice that

$$\left| \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty (m(k\epsilon) - \tilde{m}(k\epsilon)) \right| = \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty m(k\epsilon) - \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty \tilde{m}(k\epsilon) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx - \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^\infty \tilde{m}(k\epsilon).$$

Hence,

$$\left| \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(k\epsilon) - \|f\|_{L^1} \right| \leq 2\delta + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx - \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{m}(\epsilon k).$$

Taking the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  on each side of the inequality and using the reverse triangle inequality then gives

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(k\epsilon) - \|f\|_{L^1} \right| \leq 2\delta + \|f - \phi\|_{L^1} \leq 3\delta.$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, the limit on the left-hand side of the expression above is zero and the proof is complete.

7. We first assume  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . By writing  $g = g^+ - g^-$ , we may assume  $g \geq 0$  also<sup>3</sup> We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(nx) dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\frac{k+1}{n}T} f(x)g(nx) dx,$$

where the sum is finite since  $f$  has compact support. Since  $g \geq 0$ , we have

$$\left( \min_{[\frac{k}{n}T, \frac{k+1}{n}T]} f(x) \right) g(nx) \leq f(x)g(nx) \leq \left( \max_{[\frac{k}{n}T, \frac{k+1}{n}T]} f(x) \right) g(nx)f.$$

Set

$$m := \min_{[\frac{k}{n}T, \frac{k+1}{n}T]} f(x) \text{ and } M := \max_{[\frac{k}{n}T, \frac{k+1}{n}T]} f(x).$$

Integrating the inequalities above, we get

$$m \int_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\frac{k+1}{n}T} g(nx) dx \leq \int_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\frac{k+1}{n}T} f(x)g(nx) dx \leq M \int_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\frac{k+1}{n}T} g(nx) dx.$$

Making the change of variable  $y = nx$  and using that  $g$  is periodic with period  $T$ , we see

$$\int_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\frac{k+1}{n}T} g(nx) dx = \frac{1}{n} \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} g(y) dy = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^T g(y) dy.$$

Hence, (assuming  $g \neq 0$  since the problem is trivial otherwise)

$$m \leq \frac{\int_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\frac{k+1}{n}T} f(x)g(nx) dx}{\frac{1}{n} \int_0^T g(x) dx} \leq M.$$

Using the continuity of  $f$ , we can thereby apply the intermediate value theorem to find  $x_k \in [\frac{k}{n}T, \frac{(k+1)}{n}T]$  such that

$$f(x_k) = \frac{\int_{\frac{k}{n}T}^{\frac{k+1}{n}T} f(x)g(nx) dx}{\frac{1}{n} \int_0^T g(x) dx} \text{ for each } k.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(nx) dx &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{1}{n} \int_0^T g(x) dx \right) f(x_k) \\ &= \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x_k) \frac{T}{n} \right) \left( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T g(x) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the product is just a Riemann sum for  $f$ , so letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  gives the result when  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . For the general case when  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , just argue by approximation in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  as usual.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>3</sup>Both  $g^{\pm} \in C(\mathbb{R})$  are periodic with period  $T$ .

<sup>4</sup>I'll leave this part for the reader because I'm sleep deprived.