





The importance of studying psychopathology in subclinical populations

Early intervention services have demonstrated the effectiveness of streamlining distressed young people into appropriate support and traditional mental health services, when needed (Becker & Kleinman, 2013). Initiation of early intervention seeks to reduce the negative consequences of delayed access to treatment and improve longer term outcomes (e.g., Ajnakina et al., 2020; Austin et al., 2021). There is also a secondary aim of preventing transition to serious mental health disorders such as psychosis (Insel, 2010). However, we are still falling short in our understanding of which factors are reversible once someone presents for treatment, and turning back the clock on psychological distress is proving more difficult than first supposed. Understanding the psychological processes which evolve to lead young people to need to seek help is still a matter of heated debate and investigation. Certainly, we understand that the presentation of mental health symptoms in young people is the product of multiple factors, likely reflecting biological, trait, state, and environmental factors (Patton et al., 2018). A key element for increasing our understanding of what moves a young person from positive well-being to at-risk mental health is appreciating the factors which predict the emergence of symptoms in the first place. To achieve these ends, we need to continue to better understand the presentation of subclinical mental health symptoms, their correlates, and factors which exacerbate or ameliorate them.

The current two-part special issue reflects the continued work being dedicated to understanding subclinical symptoms covering mood, autistic-like, psychosis-like, and related cognitive processes for symptoms in undiagnosed participants. While early intervention seeks to increase and streamline access to services, we now understand that prevention efforts need to take place prior to help seeking at a population level. Targeting people with subclinical symptoms, with tailored programs geared towards young people, may be one way to prevent people from needing to access early intervention services. This means we need to better understand how less intrusive interventions might be used to manage subclinical symptoms prior to them requiring clinical attention. To these ends, we must continue work concerned with subclinical symptoms to understand their initial emergence, maintenance, and evolution into clinical phenomenon. By no means is this low-impact work for the people who experience these symptoms or broader society. For instance, the costs of subclinical depressive symptoms during adolescence have been estimated at €42 million annually for Dutch society (Bodden et al., 2021). Furthermore, adolescent subclinical depressive symptoms are known to predict risk

for adult depressive disorders; therefore, a preventive intervention targeting subclinical adolescent depressive symptoms would not only save financial costs but also improve long-term well-being outcomes for the youths concerned. Such an intervention called MoodBox is currently being run in China for university students (Chen et al., 2021). Positive outcomes have been reported from a workshop intervention delivered at a US university campus for students with subclinical depression and psychotic symptoms (Burke et al., 2020). There appears to be both acceptance and engagement with interventions on university campuses; therefore, university campuses may represent a non-clinical environment where low-cost, minimally intrusive interventions could be delivered to young people with subclinical symptoms.

Within the research area of subclinical symptoms, close attention needs to be paid to the scope (characteristics) and depth (frequency and distress) of the phenomena under consideration to ensure that conclusions reflect the nature of experiences being considered. By no means are clinical and subclinical symptoms considered interchangeable with one another. Where this has received greatest attention is the body of research seeking to identify differences between clinical and non-clinical auditory hallucinations, revealing that distress (e.g., Beavan & Read, 2010) negative content (e.g. Corona-Hernández et al., 2022), and the nature of the beliefs surrounding the hallucinations (e.g. Cottam et al., 2011) are key distinguishing factors between those needing care and those who do not (e.g., Baumeister et al., 2017). Subclinical and clinical symptoms are both exacerbated by similar factors such as stress or substance use (e.g., Fidalgo et al., 2016; Pignon et al., 2021). Consequently subclinical symptoms provide a useful model for understanding potential mechanisms which may be too well established in clinical symptoms to be readily detectable. For instance, the psychological mechanisms involved in the initiation of symptoms may become obfuscated by maintenance processes once someone has an established disorder. Understanding the potential utility of the findings from subclinical research, however, is closely related to the way in which these factors are defined and ultimately measured. Keeping these constraints in mind, we hope that research on subclinical mental health symptoms continues since it has a valuable contribution to make to our understanding of risk, identification, and mechanisms underpinning symptoms. Please consider the articles concerned with subclinical symptoms in Part I and Part II of this special issue.

Emma Barkus¹ 
 Elizabeth A. Martin² 
 Keri Ka-ye Wong³ 
 Raymond C. K. Chan⁴ 

¹Department of Psychology, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

²Department of Psychological Science, University of California, Irvine, California, USA

³Department of Psychology and Human Development, University College London, London, UK

⁴Neuropsychology and Applied Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory, Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China


Correspondence

Dr. Emma Barkus, Department of Psychology, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE18ST, UK.

Email: emma.barkus@northumbria.ac.uk

ORCID

Emma Barkus  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7266-0786>

Elizabeth A. Martin  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8893-1667>

Keri Ka-ye Wong  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2962-8438>

Raymond C. K. Chan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3414-450X>

REFERENCES

- Ajnakina, O., Stubbs, B., Francis, E., Gaughran, F., David, A. S., Murray, R. M., & Lally, J. (2020). Hospitalisation and length of hospital stay following first-episode psychosis: Systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Medicine*, *50*(6), 991–1001. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719000904>
- Austin, A., Flynn, M., Richards, K., et al. (2021). Duration of untreated eating disorder and relationship to outcomes: A systematic review of the literature. *European Eating Disorders Review*, *29*, 329–345. <https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2745>
- Baumeister, D., Sedgwick, O., Howes, O., & Peters, E. (2017). Auditory verbal hallucinations and continuum models of psychosis: A systematic review of the healthy voice-hearer literature. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *51*, 125–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2016.10.010>
- Beavan, V., & Read, J. (2010). Hearing voices and listening to what they say: The importance of voice content in understanding and working with distressing voices. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *198*, 201–205. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0b013e3181d14612>
- Becker, A. E., & Kleinman, A. (2013). Mental health and the global agenda. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *369*(14), 1380–1381. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1309899>
- Bodden, D., van den Heuvel, M., Engels, R., & Dirksen, C. D. (2021). Societal costs of subclinical depressive symptoms in Dutch adolescents: A cost-of-illness study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13517>
- Burke, A. S., Shapero, B. G., Pelletier-Baldelli, A., Deng, W. Y., Nyer, M. B., Leathem, L., Namey, L., Landa, C., Cather, C., & Holt, D. J. (2020). Rationale, methods, feasibility, and preliminary outcomes of a Transdiagnostic prevention program for at-risk college students. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *10*, 1030. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2019.01030>
- Chen, X., Zhang, X., Zhu, X., & Wang, G. (2021). Efficacy of an internet-based intervention for subclinical depression (MoodBox) in China: Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *11*, 585920. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.585920>
- Corona-Hernández, H., Brederoo, S. G., de Boer, J. N., & Sommer, I. (2022). A data-driven linguistic characterization of hallucinated voices in clinical and non-clinical voice-hearers. *Schizophrenia Research*, *241*, 210–217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2022.01.055>
- Cottam, S., Paul, S. N., Doughty, O. J., Carpenter, L., Al-Mousawi, A., Karvounis, S., & Done, D. J. (2011). Does religious belief enable positive interpretation of auditory hallucinations?: A comparison of religious voice hearers with and without psychosis. *Cognitive Neuropsychiatry*, *16*(5), 403–421. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13546805.2010.548543>
- Fidalgo, T. M., Sanchez, Z. M., Caetano, S. C., Maia, L. O., Carlini, E. A., & Martins, S. S. (2016). The association of psychiatric symptomatology with patterns of alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana use among Brazilian high school students. *The American Journal on Addictions*, *25*(5), 416–425. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajad.12407>
- Insel, T. (2010). Rethinking schizophrenia. *Nature*, *468*, 187–193. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09552>
- Patton, G., Olsson, C., Skirbekk, V., et al. (2018). Adolescence and the next generation. *Nature*, *554*, 458–466. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25759>
- Pignone, B., Lajnef, M., Kirkbride, J. B., Peyre, H., Ferchiou, A., Richard, J. R., Baudin, G., Tosato, S., Jongsma, H., de Haan, L., Tarricone, I., Bernardo, M., Velthorst, E., Braca, M., Arango, C., Arrojo, M., Bobes, J., Del-Ben, C. M., Di Forti, M., ... Schürhoff, F. (2021). The independent effects of psychosocial stressors on subclinical psychosis: Findings from the multinational EU-GEI study. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *47*(6), 1674–1684. <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbab060>