I. Meeting called to order by President Hamkalo at 9:15. Present were: Dumars, Easton, Feldman, Folkensflik, Frank, Frederick, Hamkalo, Heiney, Horn, Krassner, Lawrence, McCulloch, McLaughlin, Miller, Parten, Schnaubelt, Soley, and Tobis. Barbara introduced our new member, Cal McLaughlin, and then Judith Stepan-Norris [Professor of Sociology], Chair of the Academic Senate, here to discuss the Senate's actions to deal with the current budget crisis. She reported that five working groups have been established by UC, each including representatives of Senate, Administration, and campuses; each has established a web site (links on UC web page). -- Both UC system and UCI are pushing advocacy, getting message to Sacramento on contributions UC has made to the economy. Students are organizing too. -- Our campus has established six task forces to focus on how to save money and raise new funds. EVC-P's web site gives info, takes comments and suggestions. -- "The ways we have been doing things are all under review." Great challenge: how to maintain high quality on all 18 campuses; one standard for all has been the UC way." -- Also considered: different fees for different majors and different campuses, use of on-line education (but there is a question here: if done right, will it really cost less?), how many non-residents should be attracted, and at what cost to Calif. residents. -- Local initiatives encouraged, include faculty members not now on committees. Three faculty groups have organized, will get views of chairs of units which might be affected by recent suggestions. She would like to have emeriti involved in such groups. Not yet worked out how. -- Two new graduate programs under consideration: (1) epidemiology, (2) public health. -- Deans are no longer part of senior management group. -- Dick: Why? -- Stepan-Norris: They are regarded as still academics; they do research, eventually step down and go back to teaching. -- Dick: Then the university is to be run entirely by professional administrators! -- Stepan-Norris: No, it's just a matter connected with pensions and other details. Not important. -- Dick: Any move to separate funds for Instruction from Research. No, the money comes from the President's office. It's complicated. -- Ken: What web site gives information? -- S-N: Go to Academic Senate web site, then links. -- Julian: Where will money come from for the Pension Fund? UC asked for $900m; State gave $300m. -- S-N: Nobody knows. Univ. says it's up to each campus. And President Yudoff says he will eliminate furloughs next year. -- Jerry: How will Public Health be organized? -- S-N: Graduate Program. -- Jerry: Three programs have no representative. S-N: The whole proposed organization is in abeyance. The graduate program in PH has not yet been approved. -- Jerry: Does the Senate take a position on [proposed] organization of an AAUP chapter? -- S-N: No. My own position is that the Senate has large powers. The Regents have delegated power over the budget to Administration, and power over curriculum to the Senate. So we have shared governance. AAUP can't make a difference. -- Bob: (1) President Yudoff is on record in the New York Times that he doesn't know the meaning of furlough. What will happen when furloughs are ended? (2) As far as shared governance goes, it hardly applies to the budget; what we get is information about yesterday. -- S-N: President Yudoff knows the big picture. Most of the other decisions are made on each campus [by local administrators]. There is a genuine exchange of ideas between local Administration and Senate leaders. As for furloughs, Yudoff insists it was either that or salary cuts. Senate strongly recommended furloughs. Faculty were concerned about pensions; Yudoff was convinced something had to be done to show faculty giving something along with 32% raise in student fees. -- Bob: When furloughs end, will there be salary cuts? -- S-N: All depends on the decision of State legislature. -- Miller: How could emeriti contribute? -- S-N: Go on web site for future of UC, contribute your ideas, indicate what has worked. Both the UCI Senate and UC web sites have link to Future of UC. EVC-P Gottfredson's site has links to the five UCI working groups. Go UCI administration, then under Officers go to EVC-P.
II. Minutes of Jan. 11 - Corrections: Section II: (a) Bob Folkenflik will work with Bill Zeller to set up a workshop for graduates in Humanities. -- (b) Bill Zeller will write a statement on the Graduate Resource Center for our Newsletter. Section IV: Holiday Party was attended by wife of deceased colleague at UCLA. VII: Corrected Definition: Resolutions and motions are essentially the same, but resolutions are more lengthy, detailed, and important, and they are always in written form. IX: Ron Miller: Interviews were conducted by outside vendors, but response was poor. With these corrections, the Minutes for January 11 were accepted.

III. Treasury -- Stuart distributed a statement, and noted that ca.100 of our 350 members have paid dues. 52 Bio-Bibs have been turned in, 23 on line, which is about 15% of our membership [of 350]. -- Dick: But 50% of our paid membership [of ca.100], which is what counts.

IV. CFW -- Julian reported on discussion of course credit units; there is a difference of opinion between chairs of units and Senate committees. -- Julian reported to CFW on two surveys on Career Equity Review Program; CFW made comments, details are in CFW minutes on web site. -- Bill Parker reported on meeting of UC CFW: Money in retirement system short $100m. Senate expects State will not provide much money for the fund. Some proposals have come from Office of the President: (1) encourage employees to retire later, (2) change accrual rate. -- Problem due to "19 year holiday" -- starting in 1990, money for pensions went instead to defined contributions, with result that many now retiring paid nothing into the fund. Hence present crisis. -- Ron, seconded by Dick: moved that we recommend to Judith S-N. that she ask for representation of UCI on UC Task Force on Post-Employment Benefits. No action taken.

Correction suggested by Ron Miller 2/16/10: -- Ron moved that Julian Feldman be the UCI representative on the Task Force on Post-Employment Benefits. -- Dick pointed out that Julian thought the recommendation would be stronger without recommending a specific individual, but that we should recommend an individual if requested to do so. Approved by consensus.

Correction suggested by Jeri Frederick 2/16/10: V. Newsletter -- Julian: CER's "Healthy to 100 & Beyond" program is being rescheduled to begin in March due to speakers' schedules. When Jeri secures the final dates, we will be able to publish the Newsletter.

VI. Bylaws - Judy: There are two sets of Bylaws on the sheets distributed. Those indicated as #1 were discussed in January; those designated #2 are for discussion and decision today. Our aim is to present a full set of revised Bylaws at the March meeting so that we can have a final version for adoption at the June meeting. {In #2} there are several proposed changes: (b) reduce the number of standing committees from 11 to 8, and (c) set terms for committee membership. -- Also: Section V proposed that ExCom can pass resolutions regarding matters which concern emeriti, but resolutions on other matters should go to the membership. Judy and Ken feel there is no need to discuss this, but others disagree. -- Miller: Let's say that ExCom can pass general resolutions on non-emeriti matters, but subject to rejection by members. -- Motion by Ron, seconded by David Easton: ExCom can pass resolutions of a general nature, but subject to rejection by the membership. Members shall be polled by e-mail before the following meeting.-- Barbara: Let's ask for consensus. -- Ron: No, let's vote. Motion carried by 5-3, 2 abstaining. -- Barbara agreed to draw up the motion on the basis of Minutes. -- There followed a spirited discussion of what constitutes a quorum. Reference was made to Robert's Rules, revised edition, and a paragraph was read, but no agreement could be reached on the interpretation of that text or any related matter.

VII. Newsletter -- Ken: The position of Editor was defined at the last meeting. It was agreed that there will be no Newsletter Committee, and a statement shall be added that the Editor shall be able to ask for help at his/her discretion.
VIII. Ethics Committee -- Barbara: We shall have a report by Jerry next meeting, and then short reports before taking up Bylaws. -- Dick: That's a mistake! I urge that we take up nothing next meeting before we settle the Bylaws, and only then turn to other items. -- Barbara: I do think we need to take up acceptance of the Minutes. -- Dick: OK. Call to Order will be first item, then Acceptance of Minutes, and third on the Agenda should be Bylaws. -- Barbara: OK, we'll do that.
With which the meeting was adjourned at 11:05 AM.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Frank, Secretary

NEXT MEETING
MONDAY, MARCH 1, 2010
111 THEORY, RESEARCH PARK
9:15-11 AM

P.S. Dear Colleagues, this was an unusually tumultuous meeting, and I may well have missed or mistaken some weighty pronouncements. if you note any omissions or errors, please let me know. My e-mail is rifrank@cox.net. I shall appreciate your help!