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## INTRODUCTION - THE SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC CASE

Assume $\Omega$ bounded domain, $\partial \Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}, \partial \Omega=\Gamma^{(a)} \cup \Gamma^{(i)}$ and $\Gamma^{(i)}=\partial \Omega \backslash \operatorname{lnt}_{\partial \Omega} \Gamma^{(a)}$

Given: $\boldsymbol{A}$ (Symmetric, elliptic, Lipschitz) and $\psi \not \equiv 0$ s.t.

$$
\psi=0, \text { on } \Gamma^{(i)},
$$

Let $u$ be solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=\psi, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Assume to know

$$
A \nabla u \cdot \nu, \text { on } \Sigma \subset \Gamma^{(a)}
$$

Determine: $\Gamma^{(i)}$



- Stability Issue: continuous dependence of $\Gamma^{(i)}$ from the Cauchy data

$$
u, A \nabla u \cdot \nu \text { on } \Sigma
$$

- We prove a logarithmic (i.e. optimal) stability estimate.
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## Strategy in the 2nd order elliptic I.P.

In [Alessandrini, Beretta, Rosset, V. , (2000)] , in order to prove optimal stability estimate we have used:

- Stability estimates for Cauchy problem and smallness propagation estimates
- Finite vanishing property at the interior and at the boundary

Let $P$ be an elliptic operator of order 2 . We say that $P$ enjoys a finite vanishing property at the interior if
(Aronszajin's Theorem, 1962)
for any $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and any non identically vanishing solution $u$ to

$$
P u=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega
$$

we have

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \geq C r^{N}, \forall r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)
$$

where $N, C, r_{0}>0$ may depend on $u$

Similarly, we say that $P$ enjoys a finite vanishing property at the boundary, for instance, w.r.t. Dirichlet conditions, if (Adolfsson-Escauriaza Theorem, 1997) for any non identically vanishing $u$ that satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P u=0, \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0, \text { on } \Gamma,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Gamma$ is an open portion (in the induced topology) of $\partial \Omega, x_{0} \in \Gamma$ we have

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap \Omega\right)} \geq C r^{N}, \forall r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right) .
$$

and, consequently

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap \Omega\right)} \geq \widetilde{C} r^{N-1}, \forall r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)
$$




## Sketch of proof of stability estimate

$u_{j}, j=1,2$ solutions to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{div}\left(A(x) \nabla u_{j}\right)=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega_{j}, \\
u_{j}=\psi_{j}, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega_{j} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\left(\psi_{j}=\psi\right.$ on $\Gamma^{(a)}, \psi_{1}=0$ on $\Gamma_{1}^{(i)}$ and $\psi_{2}=0$ on $\left.\Gamma_{2}^{(i)}\right)$
Assume

$$
\left\|A \nabla u_{1} \cdot \nu-A \nabla u_{2} \cdot \nu\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \leq \varepsilon
$$

Set
$G=$ the connected component of $\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2}$ s.t. $\bar{G} \supset \Gamma^{(a)}$.

## MAIN STEPS

(I) Estimate of

$$
u_{j} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega_{j} \backslash G \quad j=1,2
$$

(II) From (I) we estimate $d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1}, \bar{\Omega}_{2}\right)$ (Hausdorff distance).

## STEP (I)


$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Stability Estimate for Cauchy Problem }:::: \\ \text { Smallness Propagation Estimates }\end{array}\right.$
Energy Estimate for $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}$

## STEP (II)

## Proposition

If

$$
\int_{\Omega_{j} \backslash G} u_{j}^{2} \leq \eta^{2}(\varepsilon)
$$

then

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1}, \bar{\Omega}_{2}\right) \leq C_{\eta}^{s}(\varepsilon),
$$

where $s$ and $C$ depend on

$$
\|\psi\|_{H^{1 / 2}} /\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}
$$

Proof. By Quantitative Estimates of Strong Unique Continuation (at Interior and at the Boundary)

$$
\eta^{2}(\varepsilon) \geq \int_{\Omega_{j} \backslash G} u_{j}^{2} \geq C\left(d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1}, \bar{\Omega}_{2}\right)\right)^{c} .
$$

## DETERMINATION OF A RIGID INCLUSION IN A THIN ISOTROPIC ELASTIC PLATE

Thin elastic plate: $\Omega \times\left[-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}\right]$, having middle plane $\Omega$, $D$ rigid inclusion


$$
\mathcal{L} w:=\operatorname{div}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{P} \nabla^{2} w\right)\right)=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \backslash \bar{D} .
$$

where $w$ is the transversal displacement and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underbrace{\mathbf{P}}_{\text {plate tensor }}=\frac{h^{3}}{12} \underbrace{\mathbf{C}}_{\text {elasticity tensor }} \\
C_{i j k l}=C_{k l i j}=C_{k l j i}, \quad i, j, k, l=1,2
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\underbrace{\mathbf{C A} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \geq \gamma|A|^{2}}_{\text {Ellinticity }}
$$

Ellipticity
for every $2 x 2$ symmetric matrix $A$.

Assuming that the plate is made by isotropic material we have

$$
\mathbf{P} A=B\left[(1-\nu) A^{\text {sym }}+\nu \operatorname{tr}(A) l_{2}\right]
$$

for every $2 \times 2$ matrix $A$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
B(x)=\frac{h^{3}}{12}\left(\frac{E(x)}{1-\nu^{2}(x)}\right), \text { (bending stiffness) } \\
E(x)=\frac{\mu(x)(2 \mu(x)+3 \lambda(x))}{\mu(x)+\lambda(x)}, \text { (Young's modulus) } \\
\nu(x)=\frac{\lambda(x)}{2(\mu(x)+\lambda(x))} \text { (Poisson's coefficient). }
\end{gathered}
$$

the Lamé parameters $\lambda, \mu$ satisfy

$$
\mu(x) \geq \alpha_{0} \quad 2 \mu(x)+3 \lambda(x) \geq \gamma_{0}
$$

## Direct Problem:

$D \Subset \Omega$ rigid inclusion, $D, \Omega$ simply connected bounded domain of class $C^{1,1}$ (at least)

$$
(P)\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\mathcal{L} w=0, & \text { in } \Omega \backslash \bar{D}, \\
\left(\mathbf{P} \nabla^{2} w\right) n \cdot n=-\widehat{M}_{n}, & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{P} \nabla^{2} w\right) \cdot n+\partial_{s}\left(\left(\mathbf{P} \nabla^{2} w\right) n \cdot \tau\right)=\partial_{s}\left(\widehat{M}_{\tau}\right), & \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
w=0, & \text { on } \partial D \\
\partial_{n} w=0, & \text { on } \partial D
\end{array}\right.
$$

n outward normal to $\partial(\Omega \backslash D), \widehat{M}_{\tau}$ and $\widehat{M}_{n}$ are, respectively, the twisting and bending component of the assigned couple field $\widehat{M}$. Here, $\Gamma^{(a)}=\partial \Omega$ and $\Gamma^{(i)}=\partial D$.


If $\widehat{M} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\partial \Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \int_{\partial \Omega} \widehat{M}_{\alpha}=0, \alpha=1,2$, then problem $(P)$ has a unique solution weak solution $w \in H^{2}(\Omega \backslash \bar{D})$ satisfying

$$
\|w\|_{H^{2}(\Omega \backslash \bar{D})} \leq C\|\widehat{M}\|_{H^{-1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)}
$$

## INVERSE PROBLEM

Determine an unknown rigid inclusion $D$ from the additional measurement of the Dirichlet data $\left\{w, \partial_{n} w\right\}$ taken on an open portion $\Sigma$ of $\partial \Omega$, that is from the Cauchy data on $\Sigma$ :

$$
\text { (Cauchy) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.w\right|_{\Sigma}, \\
\left.\partial_{n} w\right|_{\Sigma} \\
\left.\left(\mathbf{P} \nabla^{2} w\right) n \cdot n\right|_{\Sigma}=-\widehat{M}_{n} \\
\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{P} \nabla^{2} w\right) \cdot n+\left.\partial_{s}\left(\left(\mathbf{P} \nabla^{2} w\right) n \cdot \tau\right)\right|_{\Sigma}=\partial_{s}\left(\widehat{M}_{\tau}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## APPLICATIONS

Non-destructive testing for quality assessment of materials

## Hypotheses and a priori assumptions

## HYPOTHESES (Concerning the Data)

- $\partial \Omega$ of class $C^{2,1}$ with constants $r_{0}, M_{0} ; \partial \Omega \cap B_{r_{0}}\left(P_{0}\right) \subset \Sigma$, for some $P_{0} \in \Sigma$
- $|\Omega| \leq M_{1}$
- $\operatorname{supp}(\widehat{M}) \subset \Sigma, \widehat{M} \in L^{2}\left(\partial \Omega, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right),\left(\widehat{M}_{n}, \partial_{s}\left(\widehat{M}_{\tau}\right)\right) \not \equiv 0$ and $\frac{\|\widehat{M}\|_{L^{2}}}{\|\widehat{M}\|_{H^{-1 / 2}}} \leq F$
- $\Sigma$ of class $C^{3,1}$ with constants $r_{0}, M_{0}$


## A PRIORI ASSUMPTION (Concerning the Solution)

- $D \Subset \Omega$
- $\operatorname{dist}(D, \partial \Omega) \geq r_{0}$
- $\partial D$ of class $C^{6, \alpha}$ with constants $r_{0}, M_{0}, \alpha \in(0,1)$

Theorem (Stability, Morassi, Rosset, V. (2019))
Let $w_{i} \in H^{2}\left(\Omega \backslash \overline{D_{i}}\right)$ be the solutions to $(P), i=1,2$.
If, given $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\left\{\left\|w_{1}-w_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}+\left\|\partial_{n}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)}\right\} \leq \varepsilon,
$$

then we have

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\overline{D_{1}}, \overline{D_{2}}\right) \leq C(|\log \varepsilon|)^{-\eta},
$$

for every $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<1$, where $C>0, \eta, 0<\eta \leq 1$, are constants only depending on the a priori data.
$d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\overline{D_{1}}, \overline{D_{2}}\right)$ is the Hausdorff distance between $\overline{D_{1}}$ and $\overline{D_{2}}$.

## Main tool of the proof

Theorem (Optimal three spheres inequality at the boundary) If $x_{0} \in \partial D$ and

$$
\mathcal{L} w=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \backslash \bar{D},
$$

there exist $C>1$ such that, for every $r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3}<\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{0}, \partial \Omega\right)$,

$$
\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D})\right)} \leq C\left(\frac{r_{3}}{r_{2}}\right)^{C}\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{r_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D})\right)}\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{r_{3}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D})\right)}^{1-\theta}
$$

where

$$
\theta=\frac{\log \left(\frac{r_{3}}{C r_{2}}\right)}{\log \left(\frac{r_{3}}{r_{1}}\right)} .
$$

Alessandrini, Rosset, V., ARMA, 2019

Corollary (finite vanishing rate at the boundary) Under the above hypotheses, there exist $C, N$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D})} w^{2} \geq C r^{N}
$$



In the interior, similar results hold true. In particular we have
Theorem (finite vanishing rate in the interior)
If $x_{0} \in \Omega \backslash \bar{D}$ and $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \Subset \Omega \backslash \bar{D}$ there exist $C, N$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla^{2} w\right|^{2} \geq C r^{N}
$$

First qualitative result:
Taira Shirota, A remark on the unique continuation theorem for certain fourth order elliptic equations, Proc. Japan Acad. 36 (1960), 571-573.

## Basic steps of the stability proof

Similarly to 2nd order case:
a) Stability estimates of continuation from Cauchy data:

$$
\max \left\{\int_{D_{1} \backslash \bar{D}_{2}}\left|\nabla^{2} w_{2}\right|^{2}, \int_{D_{2} \backslash \bar{D}_{1}}\left|\nabla^{2} w_{1}\right|^{2}\right\} \leq \omega(\varepsilon)
$$

b) by the Three Sphere Inequality in the interior and at the boundary,

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\overline{D_{1}}, \overline{D_{2}}\right) \leq\left(\max \left\{\int_{D_{1} \backslash \bar{D}_{2}}\left|\nabla^{2} w_{2}\right|^{2}, \int_{D_{2} \backslash \bar{D}_{1}}\left|\nabla^{2} w_{1}\right|^{2}\right\}\right)^{\delta} \leq(\omega(\varepsilon))^{\delta}
$$

## Another result of finite rate vanishing at the boundary

Let $x_{0} \in \partial D$

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{L} w=0, & \text { in } B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D}), \\ w=0, & \text { on } B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap \partial D \\ \left(\mathbf{P} \nabla^{2} w\right) n \cdot n=0, & \text { on } B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap \partial D\end{cases}
$$

Theorem (Rosset, Morassi, V. (in preparation))
Under the above hypotheses, there exist $C, N$ such that

$$
\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D})} w^{2} \geq C r^{N}
$$

## GENERALIZED PLANE STRESS PROBLEM

Here, $u=u_{1} e_{1}+u_{2} e_{2}$ represents the in-plane displacement field. Let us consider the two-dimensional system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\beta} N_{\alpha \beta}=0, \quad \text { in } \mathcal{U}:=B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
N_{\alpha \beta}=C_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \epsilon_{\gamma \delta}, \quad \epsilon_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\beta} u_{\alpha}+\partial_{\alpha} u_{\beta}\right)
$$

$x_{0} \in \partial D$ and $\mathcal{U}$ is simply connected (i.e. $R_{0}$ small enough), $\mathbf{C}$ is the elasticity tensor of the (isotropic) material

$$
\mathbf{C} A=\frac{h E(x)}{1-\nu^{2}(x)}\left[(1-\nu) A^{s y m}+\nu \operatorname{tr}(A) I_{2}\right]
$$

for every $2 \times 2$ matrix $A$,


By using the Airy's function (1863), a finite vanishing rate at the boundary can be proved for (1) w. r. t. Neumann Condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha \beta} n_{\beta}=0, \quad \text { on } B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap \partial D \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Airy's function

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{1} N_{11}+\partial_{2} N_{12}=0, & \text { in } \mathcal{U}:=B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D}), \\ \partial_{1} N_{21}+\partial_{2} N_{22}=0, & \text { in } \mathcal{U}:=B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D}),\end{cases}
$$

We have that

$$
-N_{12} d x_{1}+N_{11} d x_{2}, \quad-N_{22} d x_{1}+N_{21} d x_{2}
$$

are exact forms. Hence exists $\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{2}$ such that $(\star) \partial_{1} \widetilde{\varphi}_{1}=-N_{12}, \partial_{2} \widetilde{\varphi}_{1}=N_{11}$ and $\partial_{1} \widetilde{\varphi}_{2}=-N_{22}, \partial_{2} \widetilde{\varphi}_{2}=N_{21}$. The symmetry of $N_{\alpha \beta}$ implies $N_{12}=N_{21}$, hence

$$
\partial_{1} \widetilde{\varphi}_{1}=-\partial_{2} \widetilde{\varphi}_{2}
$$

and, again, the differential form

$$
-\widetilde{\varphi}_{2} d x_{1}+\widetilde{\varphi}_{1} d x_{2}
$$

is exact so that there exists $\varphi$ (Airy's function) such that

$$
\partial_{1} \varphi=-\widetilde{\varphi}_{2}, \quad \partial_{2} \varphi=\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}
$$

By $(\star)$ and the definition of $N_{\alpha \beta}$ we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon_{11}=\frac{1}{h E}\left(\partial_{22}^{2} \varphi-\nu \partial_{11}^{2} \varphi\right), \\
\epsilon_{12}=-\frac{1+\nu}{h E} \partial_{12}^{2} \varphi, \\
\epsilon_{22}=\frac{1}{h E}\left(\partial_{11}^{2} \varphi-\nu \partial_{22}^{2} \varphi\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, since $\epsilon_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\beta} u_{\alpha}+\partial_{\alpha} u_{\beta}\right)$ we have

$$
\partial_{22}^{2} \epsilon_{11}-2 \partial_{12}^{2} \epsilon_{12}+\partial_{11}^{2} \epsilon_{22}=0
$$

hence

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{L}^{2} \varphi\right)\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{in} \mathcal{U}
$$

where

$$
L_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}=\frac{1+\nu}{h E} \delta_{\alpha \gamma} \delta_{\beta \delta}-\frac{\nu}{h E} \delta_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{\gamma \delta}
$$

By using the weak formulation of (1), (2) and by choosing the indeterminate constants, we have also

$$
\varphi=\partial_{n} \varphi=0, \quad \text { on } B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap \partial D .
$$

We have

## Theorem (Morassi, Rosset, V. (2020))

If $\partial D$ is of $C^{6, \alpha}$ class and $u$ is not constant in $B_{R_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D})$ then there exists $C, N$ positive such that for every $r<R_{0} / 2$, we have

$$
\int_{B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap(\Omega \backslash \bar{D})}|\nabla u|^{2} \geq C r^{N}
$$

Theorem above is the main tool for the proof of optimal stability estimate for identification of cavities in the Generalized Plane Stress problem in linear elasticity.

# SKETCH OF THE PROOF OF THREE SPHERES INEQUALITY AT THE BOUNDARY FOR THE PLATE EQUATION 

a) The plate equation can be rewritten in the form

$$
\Delta^{2} w=-2 \frac{\nabla B}{B} \cdot \nabla \Delta w+q_{2}(w) \quad \text { in } \Omega \backslash \bar{D},
$$

where $q_{2}$ is a second order operator. Assume $x_{0} \equiv 0$ and let $\Gamma=\partial D \cap B_{R}$ a small portion of $\partial D$
b) Flattening $\Gamma$ by a conformal mapping the resulting equation preserves the same structure:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta^{2} u=a \cdot \nabla \Delta u+p_{2}(u), \quad \text { in } B_{1}^{+}, \\
u(x, 0)=u_{y}(x, 0)=0, \quad \forall x \in(-1,1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u$ is the solution in the new coordinates and $p_{2}$ is a second order operator.
c) We use the following reflection of $u$,

$$
\bar{u}(x, y)= \begin{cases}u(x, y), & \text { in } B_{1}^{+} \\ v(x, y), & \text { in } B_{1}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
v(x, y)=-\left[u(x,-y)+2 y u_{y}(x,-y)+y^{2} \Delta u(x,-y)\right]
$$

which has the advantage of ensuring that $\bar{u} \in H^{4}\left(B_{1}\right)$ if $u \in H^{4}\left(B_{1}^{+}\right)$ Poritsky, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1946), 248-279 John, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1957), 327-344
d) Then we apply the Carleman estimate

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{3} \tau^{6-2 k} \int \rho^{2 k+\epsilon-2-2 \tau}\left|D^{k} U\right|^{2} d x d y \leq C \int \rho^{6-\epsilon-2 \tau}\left(\Delta^{2} U\right)^{2} d x d y
$$

for every $\tau \geq \bar{\tau}$ and supp $U \subset B_{\widetilde{R}_{0}} \backslash\{0\}$, where $0<\varepsilon<1$ is fixed and

$$
\rho(x, y) \sim \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \text { as }(x, y) \rightarrow(0,0)
$$

$U=\xi \bar{u}$ where $\xi:=\eta\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right)$ is a cut-off function

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq \eta \leq 1,\left|\frac{d^{k} \eta}{d t^{k}}(t)\right| \leq C r^{-k} \text { in }\left(\frac{r}{4}, \frac{r}{2}\right), \\
\eta= \begin{cases}1, & \text { in }\left[\frac{r}{2}, \frac{R_{0}}{2}\right] \\
0, & \text { in }\left(0, \frac{r}{4}\right) \cup\left(\frac{2}{3} R_{0}, 1\right) .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$


e) Nevertheless we still have a problem: the term $\Delta^{2} v$ on the right-hand side of the Carleman estimate involves derivatives of the forth order of $v$ or, by definition of $v$, derivatives of $u$ up to the sixth order, hence cannot be absorbed in a standard way by the left hand side.

In order to overcome this obstruction...

1) Using the structure of the equation and the expression of the reflection $u$, we rewrite in a suitable way $\Delta^{2} v$ :
For every $(x, y) \in B_{1}^{-}$, we have

$$
\Delta^{2} v(x, y)=H(x, y)+\left(P_{2}(v)\right)(x, y)+\left(P_{3}(u)\right)(x,-y)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x, y)=6 \frac{a_{1}}{y} & \left(v_{y x}(x, y)+u_{y x}(x,-y)\right)+ \\
& +6 \frac{a_{2}}{y}\left(-v_{y y}(x, y)+u_{y y}(x,-y)\right)-\frac{12 a_{2}}{y} u_{x x}(x,-y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are the components of the vector a. Moreover, for every $x \in(-1,1)$,

$$
v_{y x}(x, 0)+u_{y x}(x, 0)=0,-v_{y y}(x, 0)+u_{y y}(x, 0)=0, u_{x x}(x, 0)=0
$$

To handle the singularity of these terms as $y \rightarrow 0$
2) We use Hardy's inequality: If $f(0)=0$ then

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{f^{2}(t)}{t^{2}} d t \leq 4 \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} d t
$$

After a few steps we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{3} \tau^{6-2 k} \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{+}} \rho^{2 k+\epsilon-2-2 \tau}\left|D^{k}(\xi u)\right|^{2}+ \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{3} \tau^{6-2 k} \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{-}} \rho^{2 k+\epsilon-2-2 \tau}\left|D^{k}(\xi v)\right|^{2} \leq \\
& \leq C \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{-}} \rho^{6-\epsilon-2 \tau} \xi^{2}|H(x, y)|^{2}+ \\
& +\underbrace{C \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{-}} \rho^{6-\epsilon-2 \tau} \xi^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{2}\left|D^{k} v\right|^{2}}_{I_{2}}+\underbrace{C \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{+}} \rho^{6-\epsilon-2 \tau} \xi^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{3}\left|D^{k} u\right|^{2}}_{I_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ can be absorbed easily by the right hand side.

$$
I_{1}=\int_{B_{B_{0}^{-}}} \rho^{6-\epsilon-2 \tau} \xi^{2}|H(x, y)|^{2} \leq C\left(J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3}\right),
$$

where, for instance

$$
J_{1}=\int_{-R_{0}}^{R_{0}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{0} \left\lvert\, y^{-1}\left(v_{y x}(x, y)+\left.\left(u_{y x}(x,-y)\right) \rho^{\frac{6-\epsilon-2 \tau}{2}} \xi\right|^{2} d y\right) d x\right.\right.
$$

and $J_{2}, J_{3}$ are similar. To estimate $J_{1}, J_{2}$ and $J_{3}$ we use Hardy's inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{j} \leq C \\
& \quad \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{-}} \rho^{6-\epsilon-2 \tau} \xi^{2}\left|D^{3} v\right|^{2}+C \tau^{2} \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{-}} \rho^{4-\epsilon-2 \tau} \xi^{2}\left|D^{2} v\right|^{2}+ \\
& \\
& \quad+C \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{+}} \rho^{6-\epsilon-2 \tau} \xi^{2}\left|D^{3} u\right|^{2}+C \tau^{2} \int_{B_{R_{0}}^{+}} \rho^{4-\epsilon-2 \tau} \xi^{2}\left|D^{2} u\right|^{2}+C I
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ is a sum of integrals over $B_{r / 2}^{ \pm} \backslash B_{r / 4}^{ \pm}$and $B_{2 R_{0} / 3}^{ \pm} \backslash B_{R_{\theta} / 2}^{ \pm}$

## SOME OPEN PROBLEMS ABOUT FINITE RATE VANISHING PROPERTY AT THE BOUNDARY

- Could the assumption $\Gamma \in C^{6, \alpha}$ be reduced?
- The case of isotropic Kirchhoff Love plate with other boundary conditions, in particular, Neumann condition
- The case $\left|\Delta^{2} u\right| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{3}\left|D^{k} u\right|$
- The case of three dimensional Lamé system

Thantes!

