Recent results concerning "small" change in boundary conditions

Michael S. Vogelius Rutgers University, USA

Collaborators: E. Bonnetier, C. Dapogny.

Some background – internal perturbations ω_{ϵ} are measurable sets with (Lebesgue measure) $|\omega_{\epsilon}| \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

$$\gamma_{\epsilon} = \begin{cases} \gamma \text{ for } x \in \omega_{\epsilon} \\ 1 \text{ for } x \in \Omega \setminus \omega_{\epsilon} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\gamma_{\epsilon} \nabla u_{\epsilon}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} = \psi & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases} \begin{cases} \Delta u_{0} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} = \psi & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}.$$

There exists a probability measure and a polarization tensor valued function M – only depending on ω_{ϵ} and γ – so that

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) - u_0(x) = |\omega_{\epsilon}| \int_{\Omega} M(y) \nabla u_0(y) \cdot \nabla_y N(x, y) \, d\mu_y + o(|\omega_{\epsilon}|) \, .$$

N(x, y) is the solution to

$$\Delta_y N(x,y) = -\delta_x \text{ in } \Omega , \ \frac{\partial}{\partial n_y} N(x,y) = -\frac{1}{|\partial \Omega|} \text{ on } \partial \Omega .$$

Remarks:

(1) this holds upon extraction of a subsequence.

(2) the asymptotics is **generally not** uniform in γ .

(3) the asymptotics holds **away** from ω_{ϵ} .

(4) The set ω_{ϵ} is supposed to be bounded away from $\partial\Omega$.

Uses:

this "representation" has been used for

(1) reconstruction of locations, when $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j \delta_{z_j}$, (2) estimation of $|\omega_{\epsilon}|$ based on one or more applied currents ψ .

and, finally

(3) the fact that $||u_{\epsilon} - u_0||_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C|\omega_{\epsilon}|$, (uniformly in γ) when ω_{ϵ} is diametrically small, has been used to

establish near- invisibility estimates for approximate transformational cloaks.

Collaborators include: Yves Capdeboscq, Avner Friedman, Shari Moskow, Hoai-Minh Nguyen ...

But now let us consider the boundary condition perturbation problem:



The perturbation settings

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_0 = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N \\ u_0 = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D . \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_{\epsilon} = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N \setminus \overline{\omega_{\epsilon}} \\ u_{\epsilon} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D \cup \omega_{\epsilon} \end{cases} \begin{cases} \Delta u_{\epsilon} = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N \cup \omega_{\epsilon} \\ u_{\epsilon} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D \cup \omega_{\epsilon} \end{cases}.$$

In the first case ω_{ϵ} is a subset of Γ_N , in the last case ω_{ϵ} is a subset of Γ_D .

GOAL: Find an asymptotic expression for $u_{\epsilon}(x) - u_0(x)$.

Assumptions: (1) $\omega_{\epsilon} \subset \partial \Omega$ consists of a finite number of connected, open Lipschitz subdomains, the closures of which do not intersect. (2) ω_{ϵ} lies either strictly inside Γ_N , or strictly inside Γ_D .

General Representation Formula

For $\omega_{\epsilon} \subset \Gamma_N$, when we impose $u_{\epsilon} = 0$ on ω_{ϵ} :

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) = u_{0}(x) - \operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon}) \int_{\partial \Omega} u_{0}(y) N(x, y) d\mu(y) + o(\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon}))$$

for $x \in K \subset \subset \Omega$.

N(x, y) is a fundamental solution associated with Δ :

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_y N(x,y) &= \delta_x \text{ in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial N}{\partial n_y} &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_N , \quad N(x,\cdot) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D \end{aligned}$$

The capacity $\operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon})$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon}) = \min\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(|\nabla v|^{2} + |v|^{2} \right) dx : v \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), v = 1 \text{ on } \omega_{\epsilon} \right\}$$

About $\operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon})$

Define χ_{ϵ} by

$$\begin{split} &\Delta \chi_{\epsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \ , \\ &\frac{\partial \chi_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_N \setminus \overline{\omega_{\epsilon}} \ , \\ &\chi_{\epsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D \quad \text{and } \chi_{\epsilon} = 1 \quad \text{on } \omega_{\epsilon} \ . \end{split}$$

Then

$$c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}|^2 \, dx \leq \operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon}) \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \chi_{\epsilon}|^2 \, dx$$

and
$$\int_{\Omega} |\chi_{\epsilon}|^2 \, dx \leq C \, \operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon})^{3/2}$$

We calculate

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial\chi_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} \chi_{\epsilon} \phi = \frac{1}{\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})} \int_{\Omega} \nabla\chi_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla(\chi_{\epsilon}\phi)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\chi_{\epsilon}|^{2} \phi + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{1}} \operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})^{1/4})$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})} \frac{\partial \chi_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} \chi_{\epsilon} \quad \text{converges weak}^{*} \text{ in } (C^{1}(\partial\Omega))' \text{ to some } \mu$$
(after extraction of a sub-sequence)
$$\text{furthermore} \quad |\mu(\phi)| \leq C \|\phi\|_{C^{0}(\partial\Omega)} \text{ for all } \phi \in C^{1}(\partial\Omega) ,$$
in other words μ is a positive Radon measure

$$\mu(\phi) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi d\mu$$

Note: the support of μ lies inside any compact set, which contains all ω_{ϵ} (from a certain point in the sub-sequence).

Let $r_{\epsilon} = u_{\epsilon} - u_0$. This satisfies the estimates

 $||r_{\epsilon}||_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon})^{1/2}$ and $||r_{\epsilon}||_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon})^{3/4}$.

Suppose ψ vanishes on Γ_D , then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial r_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} \psi &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla r_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla (\chi_{\epsilon} \psi) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla r_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \chi_{\epsilon} \psi + O(\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})^{5/4}) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} r_{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \chi_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} \psi + O(\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})^{5/4}) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} r_{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \chi_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} \chi_{\epsilon} \psi + O(\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})^{5/4}) \\ &= -\int_{\partial\Omega} u_{0} \frac{\partial \chi_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} \chi_{\epsilon} \psi + O(\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})^{5/4}) \end{split}$$

With
$$\psi = N(x, \cdot)$$
:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial r_{\epsilon}}{\partial n_{y}} N(x, y) \, ds_{y} = -\int_{\partial\Omega} u_{0}(y) \frac{\partial \chi_{\epsilon}}{\partial n_{y}} \chi_{\epsilon} N(x, y) \, ds_{y} + O(\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon})^{5/4}) \,,$$

and so

$$\lim \frac{1}{\operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon})} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial r_{\epsilon}}{\partial n_y} N(x, y) \, ds_y = -\int_{\partial\Omega} u_0(y) \, N(x, y) \, d\mu_y$$

We also calculate

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) - u_{0}(x) = r_{\epsilon}(x) = -\int_{\Omega} r_{\epsilon}(y) \Delta_{y} N(x, y) \, dy$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \nabla r_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_{y} N(x, y) \, dy$$
$$= \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial r_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} N(x, y) \, ds_{y} \, .$$

Altogether

or

$$\lim \frac{1}{\operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon})} (u_{\epsilon}(x) - u_0(x)) = -\int_{\partial\Omega} u_0(y) N(x,y) \, d\mu_y \,,$$

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) = u_0(x) - \operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon}) \int_{\partial \Omega} u_0(y) N(x, y) \, d\mu_y + o(\operatorname{cap}_D(\omega_{\epsilon})) \, .$$

Immediate application:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon} f \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} u_{0} f \, dx \\ &- \operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon}) \int_{\partial \Omega} u_{0}(y) \, \int_{\Omega} N(x,y) \, f(x) \, dx \, d\mu_{y} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u_{0} f \, dx \\ &- \operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon}) \int_{\partial \Omega} u_{0}(y)^{2} \, d\mu_{y} \leq \int_{\Omega} u_{0} f \, dx \; , \end{split}$$

in other words: the compliance asymptotically (strictly) decreases

by introducing a small "clamped" area inside the "free" boundary – by how much depends on the size and shape of ω_{ϵ} and on u_0^2 .

We have a similar asymptotic formula for the insertion of homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions on ω_{ϵ} inside Γ_D

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) = u_0(x) + e(\omega_{\epsilon}) \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n}(y) \frac{\partial N}{\partial n_y}(x, y) \, d\nu_y + o(e(\omega_{\epsilon})) \, .$$

 $e(\omega_{\epsilon})$ (you may call it the Neumann capacity) and the Radon measure ν are defined in a way that parallels the case before:

Let $\kappa \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\kappa = \pm 1$ on ω_{ϵ} . ω_{ϵ} has only finitely connected components, and so there are only finitely many choices

of ± 1 . Define z_{κ} by

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta z_{\kappa} + z_{\kappa} &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus \overline{\omega_{\epsilon}} ,\\ \frac{\partial z_{\kappa}}{\partial n} &= \kappa \quad \text{on } \omega_{\epsilon} . \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$e(\omega_{\epsilon}) = \max_{\kappa} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \omega_{\epsilon}} (|\nabla z_{\kappa}|^2 + z_{\kappa}^2) \right\} .$$

Define ζ_{ϵ} by

$$\begin{split} &\Delta \zeta_{\epsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \ ,\\ &\frac{\partial \zeta_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{N} \text{ and } \frac{\partial \zeta_{\epsilon}}{\partial n} = 1 \quad \text{on } \omega_{\epsilon} \ ,\\ &\zeta_{\epsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{D} \setminus \overline{\omega_{\epsilon}} \ . \end{split}$$

Then

$$c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta_{\epsilon}|^2 dx \le e(\omega_{\epsilon}) \le C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta_{\epsilon}|^2 dx$$

and
$$\int_{\Omega} |\zeta_{\epsilon}|^2 dx \le Ce(\omega_{\epsilon})^{3/2}$$

Similar to before the positive Radon measure ν is obtained as the weak* limit of

$$rac{1}{e(\omega_\epsilon)}rac{\partial\zeta_\epsilon}{\partial n}\zeta_\epsilon \;\;.$$

Immediate application:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon} f \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} u_{0} f \, dx \\ &+ e(\omega_{\epsilon}) \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{y}} \int_{\Omega} N(x,y) f(x) \, dx \, d\nu_{y} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u_{0} f \, dx \\ &+ e(\omega_{\epsilon}) \int_{\partial \Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n}(y) \right)^{2} \, d\nu_{y} \geq \int_{\Omega} u_{0} f \, dx \; , \end{split}$$

in other words: the compliance asymptotically (strictly) increases

by introducing a small "free area" inside the "clamped" boundary – by how much depends on the size and shape of ω_{ϵ} and on $\left(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n}\right)^2$.

Some concrete examples:

Suppose ω_{ϵ} is a "surfacic" ball

$$\omega_{\epsilon} = \{y : |y - y_0| < \epsilon\} \cap \partial \Omega \text{ for some } y_0 \in \partial \Omega ,$$

Then

$$\operatorname{cap}_{D}(\omega_{\epsilon}) = \begin{cases} O(\frac{1}{|\log \epsilon|}) & \text{ for } d = 2\\ O(\epsilon) & \text{ for } d = 3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$e(\omega_{\epsilon}) = O(\epsilon^d) \quad d = 2, 3 .$$

In this case the measures μ and ν must be point masses (with support at y_0)

We now have the asymptotic formulas

(1) For insertion of a homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on $\omega_{\epsilon} \subset \Gamma_N$:

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) = u_0(x) - \frac{\pi}{|\log(\epsilon)|} u_0(y_0) N(x, y_0) + o(\frac{1}{|\log(\epsilon)|}) \quad \text{for } d = 2 ,$$

and

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) = u_0(x) - 4\epsilon u_0(y_0)N(x, y_0) + o(\epsilon)$$
 for $d = 3$.

(2) For insertion of a homogeneous Neumann boundary condition on $\omega_{\epsilon} \subset \Gamma_D$:

$$u_{\epsilon}(x) = u_0(x) + a_d \epsilon^d \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n}(y_0) \frac{\partial N}{\partial n_y}(x, y_0) + o(\epsilon^d) .$$

with $a_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, and $a_3 = \frac{4}{3}$.