

MONDAY, MAY 13, 2019

LECTURE 19 - RANK AND INVERSES (II) (SECTION 3.2)

TODAY ALL ABOUT APPLICATIONS OF RANK, SO YOU CAN SEE WHY THE RANK IS SO USEFUL!

I - THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF RANK

(FIRST OF ALL, USING ROW AND COLUMN OPERATIONS, YOU CAN TRANSFORM ANY MATRIX INTO A VERY NICE MATRIX, THAT IS ALMOST THE IDENTITY; THINK LIKE ROW-ECHELON FORM, BUT BETTER.)

THEOREM IF $\text{RANK}(A) = k$, THEN USING ROW AND COLUMN OPS, WE CAN TRANSFORM A INTO D , WHERE,

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 & & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (k \text{ ONES})$$

EX A 4×4 , $k=2$, $D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (ALMOST I)

(THE PROOF IS TENUOUS AND USES INDUCTION, BUT LET ME ILLUSTRATE THIS WITH AN EXAMPLE)

EX

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{(x-1) \\ (x-1)}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

WANT 0

$$\xrightarrow{\substack{(\div -2) \\ (\div -1)}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{(IN THE PROOF, USE INDUCTION ON SMALLER MATRIX)}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\substack{(x) \\ (x-1)}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{(x) \\ (x-1)}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = D$$

NOTE: SINCE ROW/COL OPS ARE RANK-PRESERVING, WE GET
 $\text{RANK}(A) = \text{RANK}(D) = 2$.

II - CONSEQUENCES

(NOW LET'S SEE HOW POWERFUL IT IS)...
(FIRST OF ALL, THE NEXT CONCLUSION IS JUST REPHRASING THE THEOREM IN TERMS OF MATRICES)

CONCLUSION 1 IF $\text{RANK}(A) = k$ THEN THERE ARE INVERTIBLE MATRICES B, C WITH:

$$\underbrace{B}_{\text{row ops}} \underbrace{A}_{\text{col ops}} \underbrace{C}_{\text{row ops}} = D = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

CONCLUSION 2 $\text{RANK}(A^T) = \text{RANK}(A)$

(AWESOME PROOF OF THIS ON YOUTUBE USING DUAL SPACE)

WHY?

1) KNOW $BAC = D$

SO $\text{RANK}(A) = \text{RANK}(\underbrace{B}_{\text{INV}} \underbrace{A}_{\text{INV}} \underbrace{C}_{\text{INV}}) = \text{RANK}(D)$

2) BUT $BAC = D \Rightarrow \underbrace{(BAC)^T}_{\text{INV}} = D^T \Rightarrow \underbrace{C^T}_{\text{INV}} \underbrace{A^T}_{\text{INV}} \underbrace{B^T}_{\text{INV}} = D^T$

SO $\text{RANK}(A^T) = \text{RANK}(\underbrace{C^T}_{\text{INV}} \underbrace{A^T}_{\text{INV}} \underbrace{B^T}_{\text{INV}}) = \text{RANK}(D^T)$

3) BUT $\text{RANK}(D^T) = \text{RANK}(D)$

(EX $D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\text{RANK}(D) = 2$, $D^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\text{RANK}(D^T) = 2$)

4) So $\text{rank}(A^T) = \text{rank}(D^T) = \text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(A)$ ✓

CONCLUSION 3 EVERY INVERTIBLE MATRIX IS A PRODUCT OF ELEMENTARY MATRICES.

WHY? SUPPOSE A IS $N \times N$ AND INVERTIBLE, THEN $\text{rank}(A) = N$

KNOW $BAC = D$, WITH $D = \begin{matrix} N \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{array} \right] = I \\ \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{K=N \text{ ONES}} \end{matrix}$

so $BAC = I$

so $A = B^{-1} I C^{-1} = B^{-1} C^{-1}$

BUT SINCE B & C ARE PROD OF ELEM. MATRICES, SO ARE B^{-1} & C^{-1} ,
 so is $B^{-1} C^{-1} = A$.

EX WRITE $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ AS A PRODUCT OF ELEM MATRICES

(JUST KEEP TRACK OF ROW-REDUCTION PROCESS)

$$(x-1) \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow (\div -3) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \uparrow (x=5) \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = I$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} I$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(USEFUL IN LU-DECOMPOSITION)

III - FINDING A^{-1}

(FINALLY, LET'S USE THE SAME IDEAS TO EXPLAIN WHY THE METHOD IN MATH 3A FOR FINDING INVERSES WORKS):

EX FIND A^{-1} IF $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

$$[A | I] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{row-reduce}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{so } A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [I | A^{-1}]$$

WHY THIS WORKS?

1) SUPPOSE YOU ROW-REDUCE $[A | I]$ TO GET $[I | ?]$

THEN $B[A | I] = [I | ?]$ FOR SOME INVERTIBLE B
($B =$ MATRIX OF ROW-OPS)

2) BUT $B[A | I] = [BA | BI] = [BA | B]$

$$\text{so } B[A | I] = [I | ?] \Rightarrow [BA | \underbrace{BI}_B] = [I | ?]$$

$$\text{so } BA = I \text{ AND } B = ?$$

3) BUT $BA = I$ AND B square $\Rightarrow B = A^{-1}$ (#10 IN 2.4 ON HW 5)

$$\text{so } ? = B = A^{-1}$$

$$\text{HENCE } [A | I] \rightarrow [I | ?] = [I | A^{-1}]$$

IN FACT THE SAME METHOD ALSO WORKS TO CHECK IF A MATRIX IS INVERTIBLE OR NOT!

EX IS $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ INVERTIBLE?

$$[A | I] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

NOT INV!

WHY THIS WORKS?

AGAW, HAVE $B[A | I] = [BA | B] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$

SO $BA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

SO $\text{RANK}(A) = \text{RANK}(\underbrace{[BA]}_{\text{INV}}) = \text{RANK} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) < 3$

SO A IS 3×3 BUT $\text{RANK}(A) < 3$, SO A IS NOT INV!

WHY? IF A IS INV, THEN $\text{RANK}(A) = \text{RANK}(\underbrace{AA^{-1}}_{\text{INV}}) = \text{RANK}(I) = N$

WHY IS A^{-1} USEFUL?

1) HELPS US SOLVE $Ax = b$ (NEXT TIME)

2) IF $T: V \rightarrow W$ IS INVERTIBLE, AND A IS THE MATRIX OF T , THEN A^{-1} IS THE MATRIX OF T^{-1}

\Rightarrow HELPS US FIND A FORMULA FOR T^{-1} (SEE END OF LECTURE 12)

Handwritten notes in a notebook, including a date "10/10/10" and some illegible text.